

भारतीय स्टेट बैंक STATE BANK OF INDIA

'Be the Bank of Choice for a Transforming India'

JUNE 15, 2018 ISSUE NO:21, FY19

STATES SET TO GAIN RS 37,426 CRORES BECAUSE OF INCREASED REVENUE FROM **OIL & POST GST IMPLEMENTATION**

We analysed the structure of tax revenue of 24 States (excluding North Eastern States) from their budget documents of FY19 and our finding suggests that impact of GST on tax revenue was minimal except for a few States.

Our estimates suggest that out of 24 states, revenues of 16 States have increased over and above of 14% baseline/ mutually accepted minimum tax growth rate between Centre and States post GST implementation below which states have to be compensated. We found that on an aggregate, states have gained by Rs 18,698 crore in revenue in FY18.

States which have gained maximum due to GST are Gujarat, Haryana, Maharashtra, Chhattisgarh, Jharkhand and Punjab among others.

If we combine this figure with Rs 18,728 crore which States have gained due to increase in crude oil, the overall figure of Rs 37,426 crore will be sufficient to neutralize the revenue forgone of Rs 34,627 crore if States impose VAT only on base price. If this was so the states could still cut the diesel prices by Rs 3.75/ litre and petrol prices by Rs 5.75/litre without impacting fiscal health of the states.

We also reiterate that the current multi-layered GST tax system in India is optimal and perfectly justified. In fact, in countries that face severe compliance problems, the optimal solution is always markedly differentiated tax rates based on purely administrative purposes. In this context, it may be mentioned that Malaysia which recently scrapped GST had implemented an uniform rate that was destined to be a failure. Additionally, almost all empirical-computational studies published so far yield non-uniform optimal tax rates.

We expect that while there is a need to optimise tax revenues, for funding social security programmes, there is also a need to insulate consumers from adverse price shocks. From that perspective, the states could come forward and rationalise their VAT rates. After all, oil prices in FY19 declining much below \$70 looks uncertain as of now.



GST COLLECTION IN FY18: STATES GET CLOSE TO RS 4 LAKH CRORE

♦ GST collection for FY18 (Effectively, July-Feb'18) was Rs 7.41 lakh crore, of which CGST component was Rs 1.19 lakh crore, SGST was Rs 1.72 lakh crore and IGST

Rs 3.66 lakh crore. Of the remaining, Rs 0.62 lakh crore was collected as compensation cess.

- However, there is a certain amount of IGST, estimated at Rs 1.68 lakh crore which is still not divided between Center and States. Now assuming the States receive 40% of this, total GST amount received by States comes to Rs 3.99 lakh crore. Thus the Center's share is estimated at Rs 3.42 lakh crore for FY18, which is less than Rs 4.44 lakh crore RE FY18.
- ◆ The Union Budget FY19 budgeted Rs 7.43 lakh crore GST collections and 21 major states have budgeted around Rs 5.62 lakh crore (SGST+IGST). Hence, the combined GST revenues estimated for FY19 would be atleast Rs 13.05 lakh crore / average Rs 1.08 lakh crore per month. GST collections for May were Rs 0.94 lakh crore, after Rs 1.03 lakh crore in April (for March), with average collection of Rs 0.98 lakh crore.

| e | GST collections (Ks lakh crore) | |
|-------------|---|------|
| | Total GST collection for FY18 of which | 7.41 |
| t | CGST | 1.19 |
| n | SGST | 1.72 |
| 6 | IGST | 3.66 |
| 0 | Compensation Cess | 0.62 |
| d 4 | IGST for July & Cess on imports | 0.22 |
| T | Amount actually received by States inclusive of IGST | 2.91 |
| ı S T | Total Compensation received by States | 0.41 |
| 5 | Total states have received /allocated | 3.32 |
| Τ | IGST unallocated | 1.68 |
| 3 of | State share in non allocated IGST amount | 0.67 |
| | Total being received by states | 3.99 |
| | Center's share | 3.42 |
| | Source: SBI Research | · |
| | | |

STATES SET TO GAIN AT LEAST RS 18,698 CRORES IN REVENUE IN FY19

Post implementation of GST, the tax revenue of the States has gone up in FY18 due to increased tax compliance and broader tax base. However, certain States like Karnataka, West Bengal, Uttar Pradesh, Madhya Pradesh and Assam have reported a decline in tax collection due to the change in nature of taxation as GST has subsumed the indirect taxes like service tax, VAT, excise duty, entry tax, entertainment tax into one

including the taxes under Centre and States which contributed on an aggregate more than 55% of tax revenue.

The Government has already agreed that States will be compensated for any shortfall in revenue collection due to the implementation of GST in the next five years and the baseline is assumed to be a growth of 14% compounded from FY16.

 We analysed the structure of tax revenue of 24 States (excluding North Eastern States) from their budget documents of FY19 and our finding suggests that impact of GST on tax revenue was minimal except a few States.

 Thus early evidence suggests that states are set to gain post implementation of GST.

In fact, in countries that face severe compliance problems, the optimal solution is always markedly differentiated tax rates based on purely administrative purposes. Additionally, almost all empirical-computational studies published so far yield non-uniform optimal tax rates. From such perspective, the multi-layered GST structure in India is perfectly justified.

| State wi | se GST Colle | GST Collection IN FY18 and the Budgetary Estimate (BE) for FY19 (Rs Crore) | | | | | | |
|--|------------------|--|-------|--------|--------|--------|-------|--------|
| States | | FY18 | | | FY19 | | | |
| States | CGST | SGST | IGST | Total | CGST | SGST | IGST | Total |
| AP* | 5003 | 4426 | 2927 | 12356 | 13769 | 7492 | 904 | 22165 |
| Bihar | 8987 | 0 | 6572 | 15559 | 24514 | 15000 | 2030 | 41544 |
| Gujurat | 2868 | 25000 | 2097 | 29965 | 7822 | 42750 | 648 | 51220 |
| Haryana | - | 12520 | - | 12520 | - | 23760 | - | 23760 |
| Jharkhand | - | 9000 | - | 9000 | - | 10600 | - | 10600 |
| Karnataka | 4383 | 24088 | 3205 | 31675 | 11954 | 41650 | 990 | 54594 |
| Kerala | 1 | 16200 | - | 16201 | 1 | 27000 | - | 27001 |
| Odisha | 4317 | 5950 | 3156 | 13423 | 11774 | 12000 | 975 | 24749 |
| Tamil Nadu | 3741 | 17393 | 10150 | 31283 | 10204 | 29748 | 13528 | 53480 |
| Telangana* | 3466 | 11714 | 1657 | 16837 | 7681 | 26040 | 512 | 34233 |
| Chhatisgarh | 4241 | 3213 | - | 7454 | 7144 | 5007 | - | 12151 |
| MP | 7019 | 9820 | 5132 | 21971 | 19145 | 20108 | 1585 | 40838 |
| UP | - | 28603 | - | 28603 | 43704 | 49422 | 3558 | 96684 |
| Rajasthan | 5110 | 11700 | 3736 | 20546 | 13937 | 21000 | 1154 | 36091 |
| WB | - | 8270 | 4592 | 12862 | - | 13094 | 9966 | 23060 |
| Maharashtra | a - | 50977 | 8888 | 59865 | - | 90140 | 15163 | 105303 |
| Assam | 3079 | 2000 | 2251 | 7330 | 9051 | 4718 | 6507 | 20276 |
| Delhi | - | 14600 | - | 14600 | - | 23400 | - | 23400 |
| Uttarakhand | 978 | 6374 | 715 | 8067 | 2668 | 7390 | 2209 | 12267 |
| Goa | 351.5 | 1710 | 257 | 2318.5 | 1033 | 3124 | 79 | 4236 |
| J&K | - | 3682 | - | 3682 | - | 7061 | - | 7061 |
| Punjab | 1466 | - | 1072 | 2538 | 3999 | 21441 | 331 | 25771 |
| Total (22 State | es) 55009 | 267238 | 56409 | 378656 | 188400 | 501945 | 60137 | 750483 |
| Source:Budget Documents *Difference adjustment in Central Taxes+Compensation Cess Included | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |

SBI ECOWRAP

- Now coming to states, out of 24 States, 16 States have reported that their tax revenue increased by more than 14% in FY18 compared to last year's collection and the States which have gained maximum due to GST are Gujarat, Haryana, Maharashtra, Chhattisgarh, Jharkhand and Punjab among others.
- Our estimates suggest that out of 24 states, revenues of 16 States have increased over and above of 14% baseline / mutually accepted minimum tax growth rate between Centre and States post GST implementation below which states have to be compensated. We found that on an aggregate, states have gained by Rs 18,698 crore in revenue in FY18.
- ◆ Interestingly, in our earlier, Ecowrap titled <u>"Cutting Petrol and Diesel Prices: up to how much and how?"</u> (Click Here) we had reported that States have gained Rs 18,728 crore due to hike in crude oil prices and if VAT is imposed on base price and not on prices inclusive of Centre's tax, then diesel prices could further be reduced by Rs 3.75 /litre and petrol prices by Rs 5.75/ litre. Under such a situation, we had then estimated that the states will have to forego Rs 34,627 crores of tax revenue / 0.2% of consolidated fiscal deficit of States.
- As our estimate now suggests in FY18, due to implementation of GST, States have additionally gained Rs 18,698 crore and if we combine this figure with Rs 18,728 crore which States have gained due to increase in crude oil, the overall figure of Rs 37,426 crore will be sufficient to neutralize the revenue forgone of Rs 34,627 crore if States impose VAT on base price. If this was so, the states could still cut the diesel prices by Rs 3.75/litre and petrol prices by Rs 5.75/litre without impacting fiscal health of the states.

| Transfering Revenue Gain to customers | | | | |
|--|----------------------------------|--|--|--|
| | Amount (In Rs Crore) | | | |
| Current revenue Gain due to increase in Crude Oil (Rs crore) | 18728 | | | |
| Increase in Tax Revenue due to GST (Rs crore) | 18698 | | | |
| If States impose VAT on Base price (Crude oil + Commission+Transport Cost), states tend to lose (Rs Crore) | 34627 | | | |
| Maximum permissible average cut in prices across states to nuetralise the total revenue Gain of Rs 37426 crore | Rs 3.75 Diesel Rs 5.75 petrol | | | |
| Source: SBI Research | | | | |

| How states have gained in tax revenue post GST (Rs crores) | | | | | | | |
|--|------------------|------------------|----------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| States | FY18/FY17 (%) | FY19/FY18 (%) | Gain/Loss in Revenue | | | | |
| Gujarat | 19 | 14 | 4521 | | | | |
| Karnataka | 5 | 10 | -10521 | | | | |
| WB | 10 | 10 | -1940 | | | | |
| Haryana | 31 | 10 | 8818 | | | | |
| Bihar | 18 | 10 | 3401 | | | | |
| Odisha | 13 | 13 | -617 | | | | |
| UP | 11 | 18 | -7069 | | | | |
| Tamil Nadu | 14 | 15 | -175 | | | | |
| MP | 8 | 17 | -6141 | | | | |
| Rajasthan | 14 | 14 | 8 | | | | |
| Telangana | 23 | 20 | 6939 | | | | |
| Kerala | 14 | 19 | 318 | | | | |
| Chhattisgarh | 21 | 7 | 3243 | | | | |
| Jharkhand | 27 | 12 | 5233 | | | | |
| AP | 16 | 22 | 1635 | | | | |
| Maharashtra | 19 | 15 | 9501 | | | | |
| Uttarakhand | 19 | 13 | 954 | | | | |
| J&K | 27 | 10 | 2799 | | | | |
| Goa | 13 | 12 | -98 | | | | |
| Delhi | 18 | 15 | 1295 | | | | |
| HP | -21 | -9 | -3159 | | | | |
| Sikkim | 16 | 16 | 79 | | | | |
| Punjab | 23 | 16 | 4361 | | | | |
| Assam | -1 | 36 | -4687 | | | | |
| Memoranda: | 18698 | | | | | | |
| Source: State Budget Documents, SBI Research | | | | | | | |

ABOUT US

The Economic Research Department (ERD) in SBI Corporate Centre is the successor to the Economic and Statistical Research Department (E&SRD). The latter came into being in 1956, immediately after the State Bank of India was formed, with the objective of "tendering technical advice to the management on economic and financial problems in which the Bank has interest and which required expert analysis".

After the first reorganization of the Bank, when specialized departments like Management Science, Management Information Systems, Planning and Market Segment Departments took over the statistical work of E&SRD, the Department was renamed as ERD.

However, with the ERD team now taking on multidimensional functionalities in the area of risk management, corporate analytics, strategy and so on, who knows, the time may have come to rename it again!

DISCLAIMER

The *Ecowrap* is not a priced publication of the Bank. The opinion expressed is of Research Team and not necessarily reflect those of the Bank or its subsidiaries. The contents can be reproduced with proper acknowledgement. The write-up on Economic & Financial Developments is based on information & data procured from various sources and no responsibility is accepted for the accuracy of facts and figures. The Bank or the Research Team assumes no liability if any person or entity relies on views, opinion or facts & figures finding in Ecowrap.

CONTACT DETAILS

Dr. Soumya Kanti GhoshGroup Chief Economic Adviser
State Bank of India
Corporate Centre
Madam Cama Road
Nariman Point
Mumbai - 400021

Email: soumya.ghosh@sbi.co.in gcea.erd@sbi.co.in

Phone: 022-22742440

🟏 : @kantisoumya